

# **Commission on Judicial Nominees Evaluation 2012 Statewide Demographic Report**

Government Code section 12011.5, subdivision (n), requires that on or before March 1, the Commission on Judicial Nominees Evaluation (JNE) collect and release statewide demographic data provided by the judicial applicants reviewed and the statewide summary of the recommendations of the JNE Commission by ethnicity and gender. The attached report covers information on ethnicity and gender provided by applicants and on JNE's recommendations completed during the 2012 calendar year.

## **Commission on Judicial Nominees Evaluation**

180 Howard Street  
San Francisco CA 94105  
John J. Collins  
Johnjcollins.jjc@gmail.com

Heidi Schwab-Wilhelmi, Senior Administrative Specialist  
[heidi.schwab-wilhelmi@calbar.ca.gov](mailto:heidi.schwab-wilhelmi@calbar.ca.gov)

## Commission on Judicial Nominees Evaluation Total Evaluations for Calendar Year 2012

Note: All Gender and Ethnic Information obtained from candidate application.

### Total Candidates Evaluated: Gender and Rating

Rating <sup>†</sup>	Male		Female		Unknown		Total	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
EWQ	14	6%	5	2%	0	0%	19	8.76%
WQ	52	24%	23	11%	0	0%	75	34.56%
Q	48	22%	38	18%	2	1%	88	40.55%
NQ	22	10%	8	4%	0	0%	30	13.82%
Withdrawn <sup>†</sup>	1	0%	4	2%	0	0%	5	2.30%
Elected	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>63.13%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>35.94%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>.93%</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>100%</b>

\* Ratings:

EWQ: Exceptionally Well Qualified

WQ: Well Qualified

Q: Qualified

NQ: Not Qualified

<sup>†</sup> Governor's office withdrew candidate prior to evaluation.

### Total Candidates Evaluated: Ethnicity and Rating

Ethnicity	EWQ		WQ		Q		NQ		Withdrawn <sup>‡</sup>		Total	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Asian	1	0%	5	2%	7	3%	6	3%	0	0%	19	9%
Black or African American	1	0%	4	2%	7	3%	0	0%	1	0%	13	6%
Hispanic or Latino	2	1%	11	5%	16	7%	10	5%	1	0%	40	18%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	14	6%	51	24%	56	26%	12	6%	2	1%	135	62%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Some Other Race	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	4	2%
More Than One Race	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	1	0%	2	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	6	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>8.76%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>34.56%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>40.55%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>13.82%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2.30%</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>‡</sup> Governor's office withdrew candidate prior to evaluation.

**Evaluated Candidates' Areas of Legal Practice/Employment**

**Note: Candidates are allowed to mark multiple areas of legal practice on the judicial application. All data is taken from judicial application. A candidate's rating is reflected in each legal practice area marked.**

Legal Practice/Employment	EWQ	WQ	Q	NQ	Withdrawn <sup>§</sup>	Total	
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Percentage	Number
Administrative	14	43	38	15	1	11%	111
Civil Law	18	66	75	25	2	18%	186
Legislative	7	16	12	10	0	5%	45
House/Staff Counsel	2	18	18	9	0	5%	47
Juvenile/Family/Probate	12	47	52	21	0	13%	132
Criminal	16	56	62	23	2	16%	159
Litigation (Trial/Appellate)	18	74	84	29	3	21%	208
Corporate/Transactional	4	16	20	9	0	6%	49
Other Legal Practice	2	18	21	5	2	5%	48
<b>Total</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>985</b>

---

<sup>§</sup> Governor's office withdrew candidate prior to evaluation

### Evaluated Candidates' Sexual Orientation/Gender Identity

Note: All sexual orientation and gender identity data obtained from candidate application.

#### Female Candidates\*\* - Sexual Orientation/Gender Identity

Rating	Heterosexual	Lesbian	Bisexual	Transgender	Decline to Respond††
Exceptionally Well Qualified	2	0	0	0	0
Well Qualified	10	1	0	0	0
Qualified	15	0	0	0	0
Not Qualified	3	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>

\*\* Total of 78 Female Candidates Reviewed

†† 60% declined to respond to question

#### Male Candidates‡‡ - Sexual Orientation/Gender Identity

Rating	Heterosexual	Gay	Bisexual	Transgender	Decline to Respond§§
Exceptionally Well Qualified	3	1	0	0	0
Well Qualified	11	0	0	0	0
Qualified	17	2	0	0	0
Not Qualified	7	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96</b>

‡‡ Total of 137 Male Candidates Reviewed

§§ 70% declined to respond to question